

CHAPTER 8: CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

This environmental document was prepared on the basis of consultation and coordination with federal, state, and local agencies, and with organizations, elected officials, community leaders, and other individuals from the neighborhoods and communities within Sacramento, Elk Grove, and the South Sacramento Corridor. Public involvement and coordination were achieved through a variety of means, including individual and group contacts, targeted information meetings, workshops, information flyers and newsletters, circulation of draft documents, and a formal public hearing. The project public participation program is described in more detail in Subsection 8.1, Public Involvement/Public Information Program.

Public participation and agency coordination in developing the South Sacramento Corridor Light Rail Project has continued over several phases. Table 2.2-1, Chapter 2, provides a summary of major program elements. Scoping and public involvement were conducted during the 1993 Major Investment Study and preparation of the 1994 AA/DEIS/DEIR that identified the Locally Preferred Alternative mode and alignment for the South Corridor project. Public comments on the AA/DEIS/DEIR were obtained and responded to prior to the RT Board's March, 1995 adoption of LRT as the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) mode and the LRT-Low/UPRR corridor as the LPA alignment for extending LRT into the South Sacramento Corridor. As part of this process, an extensive, multi-lingual public information process was conducted to provide the broader community with information regarding the project. The Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC) voted unanimously in favor of supporting the light rail alternative in the UPRR alignment. Resolutions of support were passed by the Sacramento City Council and the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors.

Public involvement/information continued with stakeholder briefings, community meetings, scoping meetings, and public hearing meetings during preparation of the Supplemental EIS/Subsequent EIR for the 6.3-mile South Sacramento Corridor Phase 1 LRT Extension from downtown Sacramento to the Meadowview Station, which opened for service in September 2003. Outreach continued during RT's 2001 *Multi-Corridor Study*, which updated the evaluation of multiple corridors for transit initiatives throughout the Sacramento area and re-affirmed the priority of the South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 and Downtown-Natomas Airport projects.

This chapter focuses on the public involvement/information and agency coordination conducted in preparation of the present Supplemental Draft EIS/Subsequent Draft EIR for the LPAP2 LRT extension.

8.1 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/PUBLIC INFORMATION PROGRAM

RT is committed to ensure the broadest community understanding and input to environmental and design issues related to the South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 project. RT has therefore carried out an extensive public participation program during the current phase of the South Sacramento Corridor project. This program has multiple elements, as documented in the Public Participation Methodology Report (January 2002), which is available for review at RT's offices.

Objectives of the Public Participation Program are threefold:

- To establish and carry out meaningful interaction among RT, decision makers, stakeholders, and the general public throughout the environmental process;

- To assist RT in addressing emerging issues and to develop consensus on alignment and design issues. Addressing issues early may help to reduce construction costs by reducing or eliminating design changes. The earlier a design change is implemented, generally, the less it costs; and
- To comply with all applicable NEPA and CEQA requirements.

The primary components of the public participation program are as follows:

- Identification of stakeholders/conduct of stakeholder interviews
- Elected official/government staff and interest group briefings
- Agency staff and neighborhood bus tour and workshop
- Project information line
- Development and maintenance of project mailing lists
- Web page development
- Media Relations
- Fact Sheets/newsletters/brochures
- Small group meetings and presentations
- Public open houses/workshops, and
- Public participation during environmental review: scoping, issues identification, comment period, public hearing.

8.1.1 Public Involvement During Preparation of the Supplemental Draft EIS/Subsequent Draft EIR

8.1.1.1 Summary of Public Outreach Efforts

Table 8.1-1 summarizes the public outreach that has occurred during preparation of the Supplemental Draft EIS/Subsequent Draft EIR. The table shows the date of major outreach activities, the goals and objectives of the meeting/outreach effort, the topics included, the comments received, and the materials that were distributed. Section 8.2 summarizes the agency coordination that has occurred as part of the EIS/EIR and PE effort.

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
Jan. 2002	Public Participation Methodology Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Outreach Objectives ▪ Target Audiences ▪ Issues Identification ▪ Outreach Program Components ▪ Outreach Program Responsibilities ▪ Outreach Schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Available for public review in information repositories.
Summer 2002	Newsletter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Description – Alignment and Stations ▪ Project Goals ▪ Corridor Issues ▪ Other Corridor Projects ▪ Community Involvement Opportunities ▪ History of LPA Selection ▪ General Project Timeline 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Newsletter
Feb, 25, 2002	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Anticipated Schedule ▪ LPA Alignment and basic issues ▪ FTA New Start Process ▪ Anticipated Scoping meetings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
March 6, 2002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Published Notice of Intent in Federal Register. ▪ Published display advertisements of Scoping Meetings ▪ Sent out Notice of Preparation 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Notice of Intent in Federal Register. ▪ Notice of Preparation
March 25 & April 11, 2002	Scoping Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Key Contacts ▪ Scoping Process ▪ Locally Preferred Alternative ▪ LPA Phasing & South Line Phase 1 ▪ Proposed Phase 2 station locations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Cosumnes River Boulevard ○ Franklin Boulevard ○ Center Parkway ○ Cosumnes River College ○ Power Inn Road ○ Calvine Auberry ▪ Proposed Alternatives (No-Action, Baseline (TSM), LRT) ▪ Stakeholder Interviews/Meetings held to-date ▪ Preliminary EIS/EIR & Alignment Issues <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Raise Morrison and Union House Creek levies ○ Bridges over Morrison and Union House Creek ○ Wetlands along UPRR and Morrison Creek 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scoping Notice (Notification) ▪ Rack Card (Notification) ▪ Agenda/ Program ▪ Scoping Booklet ▪ Map of Preliminary EIS/EIR and Alignment Issues ▪ Comment Card including specific questions ▪ PowerPoint Presentation Handout ▪ Summer 2002 Newsletter

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Planned extension and widening of Cosumnes River Boulevard o Regional treatment plant bufferlands & detention basin o Flyover of Franklin Boulevard o Proximity of proposed Freeport Diversion Pipeline o Coordination with College Square Development o Coordination with Cosumnes River College o Flyover of Highway 99 ▪ Key environmental analyses ▪ Other key issues ▪ FTA new starts process ▪ Overall schedule ▪ Agency and public involvement ▪ How to stay involved 	
April 11, 2002	Agency Scoping Meeting	<p>Alignment/Station Conceptual Design Issues</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utility poles between Meadowview and Morrison Creek ▪ Realignment of UPRR ▪ Fiber optic and gas lines along Meadowview Road ▪ High voltage lines along Union Pacific Railroad and Bruceville Road ▪ SMUD substations ▪ UPRR flyover at Morrison Creek ▪ SAFCA flood plain between Morrison Creek and Union House Creek crossings ▪ Regional treatment plant detention basin ▪ Union House Creek flyover ▪ Grade separation at Franklin Boulevard ▪ Crossing at Center Parkway - 84" EBMUD pipeline and 84" x 90" sanitary sewers Bruceville Road crossing ▪ LRT maintenance facility west of Highway 99 ▪ Highway 99 flyover ▪ Calvine/Auberry station location ▪ Morrison and Union House Creek levees and flyovers ▪ Union Pacific Railroad/Morrison Creek wetlands ▪ Cosumnes River Boulevard extension and widening ▪ New College Square development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agenda/ Program ▪ Scoping Booklet ▪ Map of Preliminary EIS/EIR and Alignment Issues ▪ Comment Card ▪ PowerPoint Presentation
May 1, 2002	Community meeting - Greater Faith Baptist Church	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Topics similar to scoping meetings discussed above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ NOP ▪ Newsletter ▪ Scoping Booklet

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
May 13, 2002	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Summary of scoping meetings ▪ Anticipated Schedule ▪ FTA New Start Process ▪ Anticipated bus tour with agencies ▪ Anticipated LRT ride with stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
May 16, 2002	Agency Bus Tour	Preliminary engineering and corridor issues associated with the SSCP2 project (same as above).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agenda/ program ▪ Map of preliminary EIS/EIR & alignment issues ▪ Comment Card
March 18, 2002	DECAT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Topics similar to scoping meetings discussed above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scoping materials
June 6, 2002	Meadowview Development Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Topics similar to scoping meetings discussed above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scoping materials
June 6, 2002	Laguna Creek Neighborhood Association	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Topics similar to scoping meetings discussed above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scoping material
June 27, 2002	Community meeting at Cosumnes River College	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Topics similar to scoping meetings discussed above. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Scoping materials
Aug. 12, 2002	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Outreach efforts ▪ Maintenance facility site options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
Aug. 18, 2003	Advance Ride on South Line Phase 1 for stakeholders	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overview of public outreach to date and upcoming outreach opportunities. ▪ General project components and schedule. ▪ Exhibit Boards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fact sheet ▪ Exhibit Boards ▪ Letters mailed to over 215 elected officials and community members with follow-up calls placed the week prior.
Sept. 25, 2002	Sacramento Chamber of Commerce - Air Quality Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project status ▪ Anticipated environmental review 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
Oct. 16, 2002	Downtown Sacramento Partnership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project status ▪ Anticipated environmental review 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
Oct. 28, 2002	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Visual simulations of flyovers ▪ Maintenance facility update ▪ Number of lanes for CRB ▪ Overall schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
Nov. 6, 2002	ECOS Transportation Committee -	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as scoping meetings above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
Nov. 12, 2002	Valley High School PTSA Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as scoping meetings above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
Nov. 14, 2002	Sacramento Planning Commission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as scoping meetings above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
Nov. 19, 2002	Bridgeport Condominium Association -	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project status ▪ Project alignment ▪ Center Parkway station options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
Jan. 28, 2003	Sacramento County Board of Supervisors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Phase 3 options & general evaluation ▪ Phase 2 Alignment & key issues ▪ Public involvement ▪ Overall schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
Jan. 30, 2003	Sacramento City Council meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Key contacts ▪ Alignment & key issues ▪ Ridership projections ▪ Parking demands ▪ Visual simulations of flyovers ▪ Maintenance facility options ▪ Number of Lanes on CRB ▪ Public involvement ▪ Scoping meeting responses ▪ FTA process ▪ Overall schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
Feb. 4, April 21, May 14, 2003	Open House Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project background and description ▪ LPA Phase 1 ▪ LPA Phase 2 project features and status ▪ Proposed Phase 2 alignment and station locations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Franklin Boulevard ○ Center Parkway ○ Cosumnes River Boulevard ○ College Square ▪ RT Ten Year System Expansion Strategy ▪ Related projects and schedules ▪ Proposed alternatives ▪ Station design features ▪ 2025 daily ridership (by station) ▪ Base case cost estimate ▪ Capital costs for options ▪ Parking demand ▪ Phase 3 corridor options ▪ Preliminary EIR/EIR and alignment issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Postcard/Rack Card Notification ▪ Agenda/Program ▪ Comment Card ▪ PowerPoint Presentation

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Power lines/pipelines ○ Morrison and Union House Creek Levees to be raised ○ Bridge over Morrison Creek ○ Wetlands along UPRR and Morrison Creek ○ Bridge over UPRR and Union House Creek ○ Cosumnes River Boulevard extension ○ Franklin Boulevard flyover ○ Freeport Diversion pipeline ○ Cosumnes River Boulevard utilities and widening ○ Bruceville Road widening ○ Coordination with Cosumnes River College ○ Coordination with College Square Development ○ Highway 99 flyover ○ Relationship to future phases <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Key environmental issues and preliminary findings ▪ Visual Simulations at key corridor locations ▪ Project funding process ▪ Project approach and overall schedule 	
February 24, 2003	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Expansion plan for Light Rail Operations and Maintenance facilities to be implemented as the RT system grows. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
Feb. 18, 2003	Laguna Creek PAC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as open houses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Same as open houses
March 24, 2003	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Preliminary capital costs ▪ Design options and preliminary capital costs ▪ Maintenance facility preliminary costs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
April 9, 2003	Regional Sanitation Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Key contacts ▪ Alignment & key issues, including relationship to exiting and planned sewers & effects on bufferlands ▪ Ridership projections ▪ Parking demand ▪ Visual simulations of flyovers ▪ Maintenance facility options ▪ Number of Lanes on CRB ▪ Public involvement ▪ Scoping meeting responses ▪ FTA process ▪ Overall schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
May 21, 2003	Los Rios Community College District Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordination with CRC & Los Rios College District ▪ CRC station options & associated issues ▪ Ridership projections ▪ Parking demand ▪ LRT segment costs ▪ Phase 3 options & general evaluation ▪ Overall schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
	Resource Agency meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Preliminary environmental analysis results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Purpose & Need ○ Traffic / Transportation impacts ○ Noise / vibration & mitigation ○ Biology / habitat ○ Wetlands ○ Visual ○ Displacements ○ Floodplains ▪ CRC station options ▪ Funding / cash flow ▪ Related projects ▪ FTA process ▪ Schedule 	
July 16, 2003	Meeting with FTA staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project overview ▪ Purpose & Need ▪ Phase 2 alternatives / design options ▪ Activity centers ▪ Related projects ▪ Ridership ▪ Parking demand ▪ Project phasing ▪ RT financial plan ▪ Project capital costs & funding plan ▪ Project operating costs & funding plan ▪ System wide financial plan ▪ Status of FTA reviews ▪ 1994 Alternatives Analysis / 1995 LPA ▪ Baseline Report ▪ Travel Demand Forecasting Report ▪ Summit Results ▪ Detailed Definition of Alternatives ▪ ADEIS/R ▪ Bus & Rail Fleet Management Plan ▪ New Starts Report (templates) ▪ PMP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Draft Purpose and Need ▪ Baseline Report ▪ Travel Demand Forecasting Report ▪ Summit Results ▪ Detailed Definition of Alternatives
Aug. 18, 2003	LRT Ride with South Sacramento agency stakeholders -	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Alignment and design options ▪ Major issues ▪ Overall schedule ▪ Overall funding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Fact Sheet

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
Aug. 25, 2003	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Elimination of Franklin MOS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
Sept. 4, 2003	DECAT Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Purpose & Need ▪ Project Alternatives ▪ Ridership ▪ Parking demand ▪ Preliminary capital costs ▪ Design options & preliminary costs ▪ Related projects ▪ FTA process ▪ Overall project schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
April 12, 2004	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ FTA's project justification criteria & measures ▪ Staff recommendations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Elimination of maintenance facility along south line ○ Phase 2 terminus at CRC ○ Add station at Morrison Creek ○ Eliminate median option for CRB – retain Northern option ○ Eliminate Northern option at Franklin Station – retain Southern option ○ Eliminate Median & east design options for CRC – retain West option (on site of CRC) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
May 27, 2004.	Paratransit Board of Directors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Review status of project ▪ Review recent RT Board Actions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation
Nov. 15 & Nov. 17, 2004	Open House Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Meeting objectives and guidelines ▪ LPA and South Line Phase 1 ▪ Project needs ▪ Traffic congestion on freeways ▪ Initial alignment and station locations ▪ Reasons for project changes ▪ FTA's project justification criteria and measures ▪ Refined LRT extension ▪ Proposed new Morrison Creek Station ▪ Conceptual drawing of pedestrian access to Morrison Creek Station with cross-section of optional pedestrian access ▪ Gas line relocation from Morrison Creek to Detroit Boulevard ▪ Proposed pedestrian pathway/bridge to Franklin LRT Station ▪ Examples of pedestrian access/bridges to rail stations ▪ Proposed pedestrian pathway/bridge to Center Parkway Station ▪ Cross Section of Cosumnes River Boulevard 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agenda/ Program ▪ Project Fact Sheet and Map ▪ Light Rail Systems and Crime Reduction Fact Sheet ▪ Light Rail Systems and Property Values Fact Sheet ▪ PowerPoint Presentation ▪ Comment Card.

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
		projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Elimination of Cosumnes River College Median and East Options ▪ Cosumnes River College terminus ▪ Reasons for College as terminus station ▪ Overall schedule ▪ Northern Cosumnes River Boulevard Option and elimination of Median Design Option Supplemental Exhibits: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Proposed alternatives ▪ Cost estimate – Base Case ▪ Optional Project add-ons – Design options ▪ FTA New Starts process ▪ Stakeholder interviews/Meetings to-date ▪ Key environmental analyses ▪ Preliminary noise barrier locations ▪ RT Ten Year System Expansion Strategy ▪ Related projects and schedules 	
January 24, 2005, November 14, 2005	RT Board Meeting/ Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Status ▪ Schedule update ▪ Capital cost update ▪ FTA “New Starts” submission summary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Power Point presentation ▪ Issue Paper ▪ Meeting televised on local access channel
February 23, 2006	North Laguna Neighborhood Assoc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Status 	
March 2006	Newsletter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Alignment and station locations ▪ Environmental and technical issues relating to the corridor ▪ Availability of and information found in the DSEIS/SEIR ▪ Special insert on how to read an environmental document ▪ How to provide comments 	n/a
January 2007	Public Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Presentation of CRC parking garage, ▪ Discussion on how to read an environmental document ▪ How to provide comments 	
March 12, 2007	Public Hearing	This Draft Environmental Document was released for formal public review in February 2007. A public hearing is to be held on March 12, 2007 and will be noticed via a notice of availability. Comments on the DSEIS/SEIR will be used to refine the Locally Preferred Alternative and incorporated into the FSEIS/FEIR.	n/a

Date	Meeting / Action	Topics Included/Discussed	Materials Distributed
Throughout/ Continuously updated	Project Web Site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project overview <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Alignment and stations ○ Project goals ○ History ○ Environmental review process ▪ Alignment/station location map ▪ Public outreach ▪ Frequently asked questions ▪ Project schedule and calendar ▪ News and documents ▪ How to contact us 	n/a
Information Line and E-Mail Address		n/a	n/a

8.1.1.2 STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS

RT has held over 35 interviews and briefings with local agency officials and staff, city council members, RT board members, community organizations and neighborhood associations, and other stakeholders since project initiation and during engineering studies and preparation of this environmental document. The purpose of these small group meetings has been to inform stakeholders about the project, identify related projects and facilities in the vicinity, respond to needs for targeted (for example, multi-lingual) outreach, and identify project-related issues of concern so that they can be addressed in the engineering and environmental studies. A complete listing of these meetings is reported in Section 8.4, Chronology of Coordination.

8.1.1.3 COMMUNITY MEETINGS

RT has held thirteen community meetings, open houses or briefing presentations to present the project to community members and articulate project issues of concern to corridor residents and particular communities or neighborhoods. These meetings have generally been conducted in response to specific neighborhood or organization requests. It is anticipated that additional community meetings will be held throughout the remainder of the studies and during preliminary engineering and final design. A complete listing of the community meetings is reported in Section 8.4, Chronology of Coordination.

8.1.1.4 SCOPING MEETINGS

RT held two public scoping meetings and one agency scoping meeting at the initiation of the environmental studies for the Phase 2 extension project. Public scoping meetings were held from 5:30 to 8:00 pm on March 25 and April 11, 2002, at Cosumnes River College (8401 Center Parkway) and the Sam Pannell Community Center (2450 Meadowview Road), respectively. Each formal scoping meeting was preceded and followed by an informal open house where participants could view project displays and ask questions directly of project staff. Sign language and Spanish language interpreters were available at each meeting. Participants were given a Scoping Booklet that described the project on a map of the corridor showing proposed station locations, explained the environmental review process, summarized project issues, and provided the project schedule. The

booklet included a Comment Card and instructions about how to provide comments to the project team during the meeting or at other times.

The scoping meetings were announced through paid newspaper display advertisements, pre-meeting newspaper articles, notice cards along RT bus and light rail lines, bus placards, flyers and leaflets distributed at churches, community centers, libraries, and retail shops throughout the corridor, a message on the marquee sign at the Sam Pannell Community Center, and through direct mailings to approximately 5,000 property owners, business owners, agencies, special interest groups, and other parties. The April 11 meeting was rebroadcast on Sacramento's Community Access Cable TV station, Channel 73. Information about how to submit comments was included in this broadcast.

Between 70 and 100 people attended these public scoping meetings and provided comments, which are documented in the Scoping Meeting Summary Report, July 2002, which is available for review at RT offices and incorporated by reference herein.

8.1.1.5 PROJECT MAILING LIST

The goal of establishing and maintaining a project mailing list is to ensure that all interested parties are informed of the proposed project purpose, description, events and decision points. The project team has developed and is continually updating the project mailing list, which now comprises over 5,000 entries, including key stakeholders, property owners, residents, community groups, civic groups, neighborhood associations, business and planning professionals, elected officials, agency officials and staff, and others who request to be included.

8.1.1.6 NEWSLETTERS AND FLYERS

The first issue of the South Line project newsletter, "Regional Transit Heads South," was published in June 2002. It described steps leading up to selection of a locally preferred alternative mode and alignment for the South Line, described the Phase 2 project, presented project goals and corridor issues, discussed the environmental review process, presented the project schedule, and solicited public participation through meetings, the project hotline, postal mail, email, and the RT website. The newsletter was directly mailed to the more than 5,000 parties on the project mailing list and was also placed in government offices, the downtown Sacramento library, and South Sacramento libraries, community centers, including the Pannell Center and churches. Supplies of this newsletter have been replenished as needed.

Additional newsletter issues are planned to announce preliminary findings and the availability of the Supplemental Draft and Final EIS/Subsequent Draft and Final EIR documents for public review, and the identification of the preferred alternative, once it is known.

A flyer was prepared and mailed directly to approximately 5,000 property owners, business owners, agencies, special interest groups, and other parties, to announce the dates and locations of the public scoping meetings. This one-page information item announced the initiation of the environmental studies and invited public participation at the meetings or by mail during the 60-day scoping period, as well as through the completion of the environmental review process. Additional flyers will be prepared and mailed out at appropriate milestones during the preparation of the draft and final environmental documents.

8.1.1.7 CIRCULATION OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL DRAFT EIS/SUBSEQUENT DRAFT EIR, PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD, AND PUBLIC AS

Following publication of the SDEIS/SDEIR, RT and FTA will solicit public and agency review comments through advertisements in local publications, direct mailing, a formal Notice of Completion to state agencies, and publication of a Notice of Availability in the Federal Register. Copies of the draft environmental document will be mailed to appropriate agencies and organizations as identified in the Distribution List presented in Appendix D, and copies will be made available for review at RT's offices, local libraries, and other community centers as feasible. A public hearing will be held on March 12, 2007 at the Pannel Meadowview Community Center, 2450 Meadowview Rd., Sacramento. Following the close of the public review period, RT will consider the information presented in this environmental document along with all of the comments received and, in consultation with FTA, will identify a preferred investment strategy.

8.1.1.8 PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING AND PREPARATION OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL FINAL EIS/SUBSEQUENT FINAL EIR

Following identification of the preferred investment strategy, RT will begin the preliminary engineering phase of the project, which will include preparation of the Supplemental Final EIS/Subsequent Final EIR. As required by NEPA and CEQA and consistent with RT's ongoing practice, additional opportunities for public participation will occur during that phase of the project.

8.2 AGENCY CONSULTATION AND APPROVALS

Agency coordination during preparation of the SDEIS/SDEIR has four components: informal coordination, formal scoping, formal consultation, and formal review of the draft environmental document.

8.2.1 Informal Agency Coordination

Extensive informal consultation has been conducted with local agencies and jurisdictions and other service providers to assure coordination throughout project development, and to identify project-related issues to be addressed during the studies. The team has met regularly with these parties during the development of the project engineering and the SDEIS/SDEIR to discuss the relationship of other city, county, and regional plans and facilities to the proposed project and to respond to the comments of these other entities. RT has provided targeted project briefings to elected officials and developers of contiguous private projects. This coordination is designed to identify and address issues so as to avoid or reduce project impacts. A complete chronology of these meetings is presented in Section 8.4, Chronology of Coordination.

Agency Bus Tour. On March 16, 2002, RT conducted a bus tour of the proposed LPAP2 LRT alignment and a workshop on project issues for staff representatives of 23 local and resource agencies. This tour and workshop enabled agency staff to view the proposed project alignment in the field, express concerns about the project related to their facilities and services, and learn about other jurisdictions' project-related issues in a peer setting.

8.2.2 Agency Scoping Meeting

A Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an EIR was sent to all appropriate local, state, and federal agencies and other interested parties. A Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS was also published in the Federal Register on March 8, 2002. An agency Scoping meeting was held April 11, 2002, from 10:30 am to 12:30 pm in the RT Administration Building auditorium at 1400 29th Street, Sacramento. About 40 agency representatives attended this meeting and gave input to the studies in relation to the facilities, resources, or processes under their jurisdiction. Copies of agency letters received in response to the NOP/NOI are provided in Appendix E.

8.2.3 Agency Consultation and Review of the Supplemental Draft EIS/Subsequent Draft EIR

Consultations under Endangered Species Acts. Consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) under Section 7 of the federal Endangered Species Act and with the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) under the California Endangered Species Act is required if the project will have impacts to special-status biological species. Consultation is being conducted with USFWS regarding potential impacts to vernal pool crustaceans, giant garter snake and foraging and nesting habitat for various special-status bird species. Details regarding these species are provided in Section 4.4.3.2, Special-Status Species. FTA submitted the Preliminary Biological Assessment and proposed mitigation measures to USFWS in October 2006. A copy of the SDEIS/SDEIR was also provided to USFWS for review and comment. The CDFG has also been provided with copies of these documents. It is anticipated that USFWS staff will review the impact areas with RT in the field and will return a letter of concurrence that the project is not likely to adversely affect the species or a no-jeopardy biological opinion and incidental take statement that specifies compensation and minimization measures to avoid harm to the species. It is anticipated that CDFG will review the impact areas with RT in the field and will return its determination that the BA and USFWS biological opinion or letter of concurrence are consistent with the California Endangered Species Act. Receipt of these agency determinations would conclude formal consultation under the federal and state Endangered Species Acts.

Western States Memorandum of Understanding for Integrated NEPA-404 Processing. Consultations with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), USFWS, National Marine Fisheries Service, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) in accordance with the Western States Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Integrated Processing of NEPA and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act would apply if the project were to require an individual permit under Section 404 or affect special aquatic sites. Impacts to wetlands/waters of the U.S. that would occur under the build alternatives for this project, as described in Section 4.4.4.3, Impacts to Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S., are below thresholds requiring an individual Section 404 permit. Therefore, the MOU does not apply to the proposed project. It is anticipated that the project will qualify for a nationwide permit 14 for linear transportation crossings.

Consultations Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Surveys conducted within the Areas of Potential Effects (APE) for archaeological and architectural resources have identified no resources that are eligible for the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historic Resources. A Historic Properties Survey Report was prepared and submitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) on August 5, 2003. The SHPO concurred in the determination of eligibility on June 21, 2006; a copy of the SHPO's letter is provided in Appendix E. The Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) was contacted to perform a Sacred

Lands file search and contacts provided by NAHC were requested to share information, express concerns, and make recommendations regarding the project. This coordination identified no Sacred Lands in the project vicinity. One Native American contact requested additional information; this information has been provided and coordination is ongoing. SHPO concurrence concludes consultations under Section 106 unless unanticipated cultural materials are discovered during construction. In that case, RT would notify FTA to provide for additional consultation with SHPO and other interested parties as appropriate.

8.2.4 Other Permits and Approvals

It is anticipated that the following permits and approvals would be required for the TSM or LPAP2 alternatives.

Table 8.2-1: Agency Approvals		
Agency	TSM Alternative	LPAP2
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Complete consultations and approve Conceptual Mitigation Plan for impacts to threatened, rare and candidate species under Sec. 7, ESA, prior to federal Record of Decision.	Complete consultations and approve Conceptual Mitigation Plan for impacts to threatened, rare and candidate species under Sec. 7, ESA, prior to federal Record of Decision.
Federal Railroad Administration	N/A	Coordination regarding shared track.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	N/A	Approve Section 404 Nationwide Permit 14.
California Department of Fish and Game	Determine consistency with California ESA and approve Conceptual Mitigation Plan for impacts to California special-status species prior to certification of Final EIR.	Determine consistency with California ESA and approve Conceptual Mitigation Plan for impacts to California special-status species prior to certification of Final EIR.
California Department of Water Resources The Reclamation Board	N/A	Approve Reclamation Board Encroachment Permit.
Regional Water Quality Control Board	Approve Section 401 Water Quality Certification and Waste Discharge Requirements, if any. Approve National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) or Countywide Non-point Source Permit.	Approve Section 401 Water Quality Certification and Waste Discharge Requirements, if any. Approve National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) or Countywide Non-point Source Permit.

Table 8.2-1: Agency Approvals		
Agency	TSM Alternative	LPAP2
California Public Utilities Commission	N/A	Approval of new grade crossings and modifications of existing grade crossings.
State of California	Approve General Construction Stormwater Permit (requires Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan [SWPPP]).	Approve General Construction Stormwater Permit (requires Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan [SWPPP]).
City of Sacramento	Verify compliance with Grading, Erosion, and Sediment Control Ordinance (93-068); approve Special Permits for Bus and Transit Terminals (City Zoning).	Review right-of-way acquisition, intersection improvements (city streets); verify compliance with Grading, Erosion, and Sediment Control Ordinance (93-068); approve Special Permits for Bus and Transit Terminals (City Zoning).
County of Sacramento	Verify compliance with Land Grading and Erosion Control Ordinance.	Review right-of-way acquisition, intersection improvements (county roads); verify compliance with Land Grading and Erosion Control Ordinance; approve grade crossing modifications.
Cosumnes River College	Grant easement for park-and-ride lot on college property.	Grant easement for park-and-ride lot on college property.

8.2.5 Agencies Consulted

This environmental document is being distributed to appropriate federal, state, regional and local agencies. A copy of the SDEIS/SDEIR distribution list is provided in Appendix D. RT will consider and respond in writing to any written comments on the project documentation received from agencies during the public comment period prior to identifying a preferred investment strategy in coordination with FTA. RT's responses will be published along with the agency comments in the Supplemental Final EIS/Subsequent Final EIR.

Public agencies formally or informally consulted during the preparation of this environmental document, including those that received a copy of the SDEIS/SDEIR are the following:

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Council of Environmental Quality
 Department of Transportation, Office of the Secretary
 Federal Emergency Management Administration
 Federal Highway Administration
 Federal Railroad Administration

General Services Administration
National Transportation Safety Board
Surface Transportation Board
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Department of Commerce
U.S. Department of Energy
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Department of Agriculture
U.S. Senators and Congressional Representatives

STATE AGENCIES

Air Resources Board
California Business, Transportation & Housing
California Environmental Protection Agency
California Native Plant Society
Department of Fish and Game
Department of Housing and Community Development
Department of Parks and Recreation
Department of Transportation, District 3
Department of Transportation, Rail Program
Department of Water Resources
Energy Commission
Office of Planning and Research
Public Utilities Commission
Reclamation Board
State Historic Preservation Officer
State Lands Commission
State Resources Agency
State Senators and Assembly Members
Water Quality Control Board
Water Resources Control Board

LOCAL AND REGIONAL AGENCIES

City of Elk Grove Community Services Department
City of Elk Grove Public Works Department
Cosumnes River College
DECAT
East Bay Municipal Utility District
Elk Grove Unified School District – Facilities Department

Freeport Regional Water Authority
Los Rios Community College District (Cosumnes River College)
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency
Sacramento Area Council of Governments
Sacramento City Council and Mayor
Sacramento City Department of Public Works
Sacramento City Department of Utilities
Sacramento City Engineering & Transportation Development Department
Sacramento City Environmental Commission
Sacramento City Environmental Services Division
Sacramento City Fire Department
Sacramento City Historic Preservation Officer
Sacramento City Manager
Sacramento City Office of Human Services
Sacramento City Parks and Community Services
Sacramento City Planning Department, Office of Environmental Affairs
Sacramento City Neighborhood Services Department
Sacramento City Police Department
Sacramento Convention and Visitors Bureau
Sacramento County Air Quality Management District
Sacramento County Board of Supervisors
Sacramento County Commission on Aging
Sacramento County Department of Parks and Recreation
Sacramento County Department of Planning
Sacramento County Department of Public Works (incl. Department of Water Resources)
Sacramento County Department of Utilities
Sacramento County Engineering & Transportation Development Department
Sacramento County Environmental Coordinator
Sacramento County Environmental Review and Assessment
Sacramento County Executive
Sacramento County Fire Protection District
Sacramento County Health Department
Sacramento County Policy Planning Commission
Sacramento County Project Planning Commission
Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
Sacramento County Transportation and Operations Division
Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency
Sacramento Metropolitan Area Air Quality Management District
Sacramento Metropolitan Arts Commission
Sacramento Metropolitan Fire District
Sacramento Municipal Utilities District
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District
Sacramento School District
Sacramento Transportation Authority
Western Area Power Administration

8.3 OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES AND STAKEHOLDERS

Other interested parties and stakeholders consulted during the studies include the following:

- Area 2 Neighborhood
- Caring Neighbors
- College Square Development
- Greater Faith Baptist Church
- Laguna Creek Neighbors
- Meadowview Development Committee
- Union Pacific Railroad

8.4 CHRONOLOGY OF AGENCY COORDINATION

Table 8.4-1 summarizes the meeting held with agency stakeholders.

Agency	Dates		Subjects Discussed
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency (SAFCA) & U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ January 7, 2002 ▪ March 21, 2002 ▪ December 19, 2002 ▪ April 21, 2003 ▪ May 23, 2003 ▪ June 6, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 20, 2003 ▪ March 9, 2004 ▪ August 9, 2004 ▪ January 21, 2005 ▪ April 5, 2005 ▪ May 25, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Relationship of LRT Project to South Streams Improvement Project ▪ SAFCA/COE need for widening of Union House Creek 18 feet ▪ Coordination of cross section of CRB
Freeport Water Diversion Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ April 9, 2003 ▪ May 23, 2003 ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ June 9, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 20, 2003 ▪ March 9, 2004 ▪ April 5, 2005 ▪ May 25, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Effects of LRT on proposed water diversion project ▪ Coordination of cross section of CRB
City of Sacramento Departments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ December 20, 2001 ▪ January 11, 2002 ▪ March 6, 2002 ▪ June 21, 2002 ▪ July 15, 2002 ▪ August 1, 2002 ▪ September 5, 2002 ▪ September 6, 2002 ▪ October 3, 2002 ▪ November 7, 2002 ▪ November 26, 2002 ▪ December 5, 2002 ▪ January 2, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 6, 2003 ▪ April 3, 2003 ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ August 7, 2003 ▪ September 4, 2003 ▪ November 6, 2003 ▪ February 5, 2004 ▪ March 9, 2004 ▪ Nov. 4, 2004 ▪ December 2, 2004 ▪ January 6, 2005 ▪ April 5, 2005 ▪ April 22, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall project status ▪ Federal new starts process ▪ Coordination of public outreach efforts ▪ Traffic carrying capacity of local & area streets ▪ Conceptual street designs ▪ City land use actions, polices, ordinances, plans ▪ Coordination with related projects, e.g., Bruceville Road widening ▪ Coordination with CRC

Table 8.4-1: Summary of Agency Coordination		
Agency	Dates	Subjects Discussed
Sacramento County Regional Sanitation District	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ January 18, 2002 ▪ February 15, 2002 ▪ April 9, 2002 ▪ June 27, 2002 ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ January 19, 2005 ▪ January 28, 2005 ▪ May 25, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Effects on SCRSD Bufferlands ▪ Potential effects on existing major interceptor sewers ▪ Potential effects on future planned sewers
California Public Utilities Commission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ July 3, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project overview ▪ LRT grade crossings ▪ General traffic / delay impacts ▪ Preliminary grade separation design options
Union Pacific Railroad	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 27, 2002 ▪ June 25, 2003 ▪ April 20, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Relationship of freight tracks to LRT ▪ Cross section in rail corridor
Sacramento Fire Department	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 13, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall Project status ▪ Conceptual station designs
SMUD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ Nov. 15, 2004 ▪ June 28, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall Project status ▪ UPRR corridor conceptual design ▪ Access to SMUD facilities
PG&E	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ July 20, 2004 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall Project status ▪ Relocation of gas line out of UPRR ROW
Cosumnes River College/Los Rios Community College District	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ January 31, 2002 ▪ March 5, 2002 ▪ May 1, 2002 ▪ August 28, 2002 ▪ March 26, 2003 ▪ May 12, 2003 ▪ February 6, 2004 ▪ October 5, 2004 ▪ November 29, 2004 ▪ December 2, 2004 ▪ April 12, 2005 ▪ May 3, 2005 ▪ May 12, 2005 ▪ May 27, 2005 ▪ July 19, 2005 ▪ Nov. 10, 2005 ▪ March 2, 2006 ▪ September 1, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall project status ▪ Relationship of LRT station to CRC Master Plan ▪ Options for station platform/parking locations ▪ Anticipated Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
College Square Development representatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 15, 2002 ▪ May 1, 2002 ▪ December 2, 2004 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Relationship of CRC station/parking to proposed development
Sacramento Housing & Redevelopment Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 15, 2002 ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ December 2, 2004 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Relationship of CRC station, alignment/ parking to SHRA property & proposed development
Sacramento City Councilmember	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 15, 2002 ▪ May 1, 2002 ▪ May 9, 2002 ▪ October 3, 2002 ▪ December 5, 2002 ▪ December 17, 2002 ▪ January 14, 2003 ▪ January 23, 2003 ▪ June 9, 2003 ▪ April 1, 2004 ▪ September 1, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Status of project ▪ Coordination with City department and projects ▪ Public outreach efforts ▪ Project funding / federal process

Table 8.4-1: Summary of Agency Coordination		
Agency	Dates	Subjects Discussed
Sacramento City Planning Department	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 19, 2002 ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ April 1, 2004 ▪ December 2, 2005 ▪ July 13, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ City land use actions, polices, ordinances, plans ▪ FTA new starts criteria submission
Sacramento County departments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 21, 2002 ▪ June 6, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Status of project ▪ Coordination with County department and projects ▪ Public outreach efforts ▪ Project funding / federal process
Sacramento County Planning Department staff.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 28, 2003 ▪ July 13, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ City land use actions, polices, ordinances, plans ▪ FTA new starts criteria submission
Sacramento County Supervisor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 25, 2002 ▪ March 1, 2002 ▪ July 19, 2002 ▪ August 12, 2002 ▪ August 13, 2002 ▪ December 12, 2002 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Status of project ▪ Coordination with City department and projects ▪ Public outreach efforts ▪ Project funding / federal process
City of Elk Grove staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ March 18, 2002 ▪ September 6, 2002 ▪ June 6, 2003 ▪ June 30, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Update of Elk Grove General Plan ▪ Relationship of LPAP2 Project to Phase 3 (in MTP) ▪ Coordination of bus services related to Project Alternatives
Elk Grove Unified School District - Facilities Department	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ March 28, 2002 ▪ June 6, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall Project status ▪ Relationship of Calvine/Auberry Station to Elk Grove middle/high school
Caltrans	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ June 6, 2003 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Crossing of SR 99
Cosumnes River Boulevard Extension Coordinating Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Generally monthly – 2002-2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Relationship of CRB Extension to LPAP2 project.
Stone Family Trust and Stone Boswell – Property Owners (adjacent to Morrison Creek Station).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ July 31, 2003 ▪ August 11, 2004 ▪ February 23, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Overall Project status ▪ Proposals for Morrison Creek Station ▪ Relationship to planned development of Stone Family Trust property

Table 8.4-1: Summary of Agency Coordination		
Agency	Dates	Subjects Discussed
U.S. Congresswoman Briefing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ March 30, 2005 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Status of project ▪ Project funding / federal process ▪ Public outreach efforts
Joyce Rose, Majority professional staff member, sub-committee on Highways, Transit & Pipelines, Committee on Transportation & Infrastructure, US House of Representatives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ February 7, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project Status ▪ Project tour ▪ Briefing materials ▪ Fact Sheets
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers USCOE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Field reviews – March 20, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Jurisdictional Determination – May 23, 2006 ▪ Jurisdictional Determination
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concurrence letter – (APE and findings) June 21, 2006 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concurrence