Table of Contents

PREFACE 1

SUMMARY S-1

S-1 REGIONAL PLANNING CONTEXT S-1
S-3 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED IN THE SDEIS/SDEIR S-6
S-4 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, DESIGN REQUIREMENTS/ RT PRACTICES, AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES S-10
S-5 SUMMARY OF CAPITAL COSTS S-37
S-6 OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COSTS S-37
S-7 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION S-38
S-8 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED/AREAS OF CONTROVERSY S-39

Chapter 1: PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS 1-1

1.1 PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION 1-1
1.2 NEED 1-4
1.2.1 South Sacramento Corridor 1-4
1.2.2 Current and Future Population and Employment 1-4
1.2.3 Current and Future Travel Demand 1-8
1.2.4 Air Quality 1-11
1.2.5 Other Needs 1-11

CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES 2-1

2.1 BACKGROUND 2-1
2.2 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE 2-3
2.2.1 Background Assumptions 2-4
2.2.2 No-Action Alternative: Corridor Transit System 2-4
2.2.3 No-Action Alternative Highway Network Improvements 2-6
2.3 TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT (TSM) ALTERNATIVE 2-9
2.3.1 TSM Alternative Bus Service 2-10
2.3.2 TSM Alternative Rail Transit Operations 2-13
2.3.3 TSM Alternative Highway Improvements 2-13
2.3.4 TSM Alternative Transit Centers 2-13
2.4 LOCALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE PHASE 2 2-14
2.4.1 LPAP2 Highway Improvements 2-14
2.4.2 LPAP2 Transit Service 2-14
2.4.3 LPAP2 Alignment Description 2-14
2.4.4 Detailed Description of LRT Alignment 2-18
2.4.5 LPAP2 Stations 2-43
2.4.6 Traction Power 2-46
2.4.7 Right-of-Way Requirements 2-48
2.5 FLEET AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY REQUIREMENTS 2-48
2.6 CAPITAL COST SUMMARY 2-48
2.6.1 TSM Alternative Capital Costs 2-49

2.6.2 LPAP2 Capital Costs....2-50
2.7 OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COST SUMMARY....2-51
2.7.1 RT's Current Operating and Maintenance Costs....2-51
2.7.2 Operating and Maintenance Costs of the TSM Alternative....2-51
2.7.3 Operating and Maintenance Costs of the LPAP2....2-52
2.8 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND WITHDRAWN FROM FURTHER CONSIDERATION.2-52
2.8.1 Meadowview Road to Union House Creek....2-52
2.8.2 Bufferlands Corridor (UPRR Corridor to Franklin Boulevard)....2-53
2.8.3 Franklin Boulevard to Bruceville Road....2-53
2.8.4 Bruceville Road Segment....2-54
2.8.5 LRT Segment from the CRC to Calvine/Auberry....2-55
2.8.6 Storage and Maintenance Facility....2-56
2.9 RELATED PROJECTS....2-56
2.10 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED/AREAS OF CONTROVERSY....2-58

CHAPTER 3: TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION 3-1

3.1 OVERVIEW 3-1
3.2 TRANSIT 3-1
3.2.1 Existing Rail Transit Services 3-1
3.2.2 Existing Bus Services 3-7
3.2.3 Future Transit Services in the South Sacramento Phase 2 Corridor 3-10
3.2.4 Projected Future Rail and Bus Patronage....3-12
3.2.5 Projected Travel Times/Accessibility....3-22
3.2.6 Mitigation Measures....3-25
3.3 VEHICULAR TRAFFIC....3-25
3.3.1 Existing Street and Highway System....3-25
3.3.2 Existing Traffic Volumes and Level of Service....3-26
3.3.2 Existing Traffic Volumes and Level of Service....3-27
3.3.3 Criteria for Assessing Project-Specific Impacts on Vehicular Traffic 3-32
3.3.4 No-Action Alternative Long-Term Traffic Impacts 3-33
3.3.5 TSM Alternative Traffic Impacts....3-37
3.3.6 LPAP2 Long-Term Traffic Impacts....3-42
3.3.7 Delays at Grade Crossings....3-43
3.3.8 Circulation Impacts in Station Areas....3-43
3.3.9 Mitigation Measures....3-50
3.4 PARKING....3-54
3.4.1 No-Action Alternative....3-54
3.4.2 TSM Alternative....3-54
3.4.3 LPAP2 3-56
3.4.4 Mitigation Measures....3-57
3.5 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES....3-57
3.5.1 No-Action Alternative....3-57
3.5.2 TSM Alternative....3-57
3.5.3 LPAP2 3-57
3.5.4 Mitigation Measures....3-59

CHAPTER 4: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION....4-1

4.1 AESTHETICS 4-1
4.1.1 Overview 4-1
4.1.2 Visual Character 4-1
4.1.3 Locations Possessing Sensitive Visual Receptors or Offering Scenic Views 4-2
4.1.4 Visual Changes under the TSM or LPAP2 Alternatives 4-4
4.1.5 Mitigation Measures 4-9
4.2 AGRICULTURE 4-9
4.2.1 Regulatory Setting 4-9
4.2.2 Farmland Impacts 4-10
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures 4-10
4.3 AIR QUALITY 4-11
4.3.1 Setting 4-11
4.3.2 Air Quality Analysis Methodology 4-14
4.3.3 Impacts 4-15
4.3.4 Mitigation Measures 4-21
4.4 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES 4-21
4.4.1 Regulatory Context 4-21
4.4.2 Methodology 4-23
4.4.3 Overview of Existing Natural Resources 4-24
4.4.4 Impacts 4-44
4.4.5 Agency Consultations 4-48
4.4.6 Mitigation Measures 4-48
4.5 HISTORIC AND CULTURAL RESOURCES 4-50
4.5.1 Regulatory Compliance 4-50
4.5.2 Resources in the Area of Potential Effects 4-51
4.5.3 Impacts 4-55
4.5.4 Mitigation Measures 4-56
4.6 ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS (EMF) AND ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE (EMI) 4-56
4.6.1 Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) 4-56
4.6.2 Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) 4-59
4.6.3 Mitigation Measures 4-59
4.7 GEOLOGY, SOILS AND SEISMICITY 4-59
4.7.1 Setting 4-59
4.7.2 Impacts 4-62
4.7.3 Mitigation Measures 4-62
4.8 HAZARDOUS WASTES 4-62
4.8.1 Affected Environment 4-62
4.8.2 Impacts 4-63
4.8.3 Mitigation Measures 4-65
4.9 HYDROLOGY, FLOODPLAIN, AND WATER QUALITY 4-66
4.9.1 Setting 4-66
4.9.2 Impacts 4-72
4.9.3 Mitigation Measures 4-75
4.10 LAND USE AND PLANNING 4-76
4.10.1 Existing Land Uses 4-78
4.10.2 Local Development Plans and Policies 4-78

4.10.3 Future Land Uses in the Near the LPAP2 Alignment/Related Projects 4-81
4.10.4 Impacts 4-85
4.10.5 Design Requirements and RT Practices 4-87
4.10.6 Mitigation Measures....4-88
4.11 MINERAL AND ENERGY RESOURCES....4-88
4.11.1 Minerals 4-88
4.11.2 Energy 4-88
4.12 NOISE AND VIBRATION....4-95
4.12.1 Methodology and Criteria....4-95
4.12.2 Sensitive Receptors 4-102
4.12.3 Measurement of Existing Noise and Vibration....4-102
4.12.4 Noise Impacts....4-107
4.12.5 Ground Vibration Impact Assessment....4-111
4.12.6 Design Requirements and RT Practices 4-112
4.12.7 Noise Impact Mitigation Measures....4-112
4.12.8 Ground-Borne Vibration Mitigation 4-121
4.13 POPULATION, HOUSING, AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE....4-125
4.13.1 Demographic Characteristics 4-125
4.13.2 Neighborhood and Businesses 4-130
4.13.3 Property Acquisition and Relocation 4-134
4.13.4 Effects on Neighborhoods and Businesses 4-137
4.13.5 Environmental Justice....4-138
4.13.6 Growth Inducement 4-139
4.13.7 Mitigation Measures....4-140
4.14 PUBLIC SERVICES AND FACILITIES 4-140
4.14.1 Setting 4-140
4.14.2 Impacts 4-144
4.14.3 Mitigation Measures....4-144
4.15 RECREATIONAL FACILITIES....4-144
4.15.1 Setting 4-144
4.15.2 Impacts 4-145
4.15.3 Mitigation Measures....4-147
4.16 SAFETY AND SECURITY....4-149
4.16.1 Security at RT Facilities....4-149
4.16.2 Impacts 4-149
4.16.3 Safety of Children....4-152
4.16.4 Mitigation Measures....4-153
4.17 UTILITIES 4-154
4.17.1 Setting 4-154
4.17.2 Impacts 4-154
4.17.3 Design Requirements and RT Practices 4-158
4.17.4 Mitigation Measures....4-159
4.18 SECTION 4(f)....4-159
4.18.1 Regulatory Context....4-159
4.18.2 Proposed Action....4-159
4.18.3 Purpose and Need....4-160
4.18.4 Section 4(f) Property 4-164
4.18.5 Use of 4(f) Properties 4-164
4.18.6 Avoidance Alternatives....4-165

4.18.4 Draft 4(f) Finding 4-165
4.19 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS 4-165
4.19.1 Regulatory Context 4-165
4.19.2 Issues That Are Addressed Cumulatively Through the Areawide Land Use and Transportation Model 4-166
4.19.3 Noise 4-167
4.19.4 Impacts Related to Right-of-Way Requirements 4-167
4.19.5 Phase 3 4-169
4.19 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES 4-169
4.20 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY 4-170

CHAPTER 5: CONSTRUCTION PHASE EFFECTS 5-1

5.1 OVERVIEW OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY REQUIREMENTS 5-1
5.1.1 TSM Alternative 5-1
5.1.2 LPAP2 5-1
5.2 CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS AND MITIGATION 5-6
5.2.1 Aesthetics 5-6
5.2.2 Agriculture 5-6
5.2.3 Air Quality 5-7
5.2.4 Biological Resources 5-10
5.2.5 Cultural Resources 5-15
5.2.6 Employment 5-16
5.2.7 Geology, Soils and Seismicity 5-17
5.2.8 Hazardous Wastes 5-18
5.2.9 Hydrology, Floodplain, and Water Quality 5-19
5.2.10 Land Use 5-20
5.2.11 Mineral and Energy Resources 5-20
5.2.12 Neighborhoods and Businesses 5-21
5.2.13 Noise and Vibration 5-22
5.2.14 Parks and Recreation 5-23
5.2.15 Public Services and Facilities 5-23
5.2.16 Safety and Security 5-23
5.2.17 Transportation Impacts 5-24
5.2.18 Utilities 5-28
5.2.19 Cumulative Construction 5-28

CHAPTER 6: CEQA CONSIDERATIONS AND FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE ... 6-1

6.1 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA 6-1
6.2 UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE EFFECTS UNDER CEQA 6-10
6.2.1 Impacts with CEQA Baseline (Current) Conditions 6-47
6.2.2 CEQA Mandatory Findings of Significance 6-48
6.3 GROWTH INDUCEMENT 6-49

CHAPTER 7: FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION 7-1

7.1 OVERVIEW 7-1
7.2 FUNDING FOR CONSTRUCTION COSTS 7-1
7.2.1 Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement 7-2

7.2.2 Laguna Community Facilities District 7-2
7.2.3 Vineyard Fee District 7-2
7.2.4 State Transportation Improvement Program 7-3
7.2.5 Measure A Sales Tax / Developer Fees 7-3
7.2.6 State Traffic Congestion Relief Program 7-4
7.2.7 Federal Section 5309 New Starts 7-4
7.3 FUNDING FOR OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS 7-4
7.4 CASH FLOW ANALYSIS 7-5
7.5 RISK ANALYSIS 7-7
7.6 CONCLUSIONS 7-8
7.7 EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES 7-9
7.7.1 Goals and Objectives 7-10
7.7.2 Travel and Mobility 7-14
7.7.3 Land Use 7-17
7.7.4 Financial and Economic 7-18
7.7.5 Environmental 7-19
7.7.6 Community Considerations 7-21

CHAPTER 8: Consultation and Coordination 8-1

8.1 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT/PUBLIC INFORMATION PROGRAM 8-1
8.1.1 Public Involvement During Preparation of Supplemental DEIS/Subsequent DEIR 8-2
8.1.1.1 Summary of Public Outreach Efforts 8-2
8.2 AGENCY CONSULTATION AND APPROVALS 8-13
8.2.1 Informal Agency Coordination 8-13
8.2.2 Agency Scoping Meeting 8-14
8.2.3 Agency Consultation and Review of Supplemental Draft EIS/Subsequent Draft EIR 8-14
8.2.4 Other Permits and Approvals 8-15
8.2.5 Agencies Consulted 8-16
8.3 OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES AND STAKEHOLDERS 8-19
8.4 CHRONOLOGY OF AGENCY COORDINATION 8-19

Appendices

APPENDIX A: Bibliography, Notes and References
APPENDIX B: Definitions and Abbreviations
APPENDIX C: List of Preparers
APPENDIX D: Distribution List
APPENDIX E: Agency Correspondence
APPENDIX F: RT District Board Resolution Certifying EIR for Locally Preferred Alternative
APPENDIX G: Cultural Resources (Archeology) Area of Potential Effects (APE) Maps
APPENDIX H: Historic Architecture Area of Potential Effects (APE) Maps

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure S-1: Project Location S-5
Figure S-2: Locally Preferred Alternative Phase 2 Proposed Light Rail Transit (LRT) Alignment and Station Locations S-9
Figure 1.1-1: Major Activity Centers 1-2
Figure 1.2-1: South Sacramento Corridors 1-5
Figure 1.2-2: Sacramento County Regional Analysis Districts 1-6
Figure 1.2-3: Potential Connection Points to LRT System 1-13
Figure 2.2-1: Year 2025 No-Action Alternative 2-7
Figure 2.3-1: Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Alternative 2-11
Figure 2.4.1: LRT Alternative 2-15
Figure 2.4-2: Locally Preferred Alternative Phase 2 2-16
Figure 2.4-3: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-19
Figure 2.4-4: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-20
Figure 2.4-5: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-21
Figure 2.4-6: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-22
Figure 2.4-7: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-23
Figure 2.4-8: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-24
Figure 2.4-9: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-25
Figure 2.4-10: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-26
Figure 2.4-11: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-27
Figure 2.4-12: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-28
Figure 2.4-13: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-29
Figure 2.4-14: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-30
Figure 2.4-15: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-31
Figure 2.4-16: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-32
Figure 2.4-17: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-33
Figure 2.4-18: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-34
Figure 2.4-19: LPAP2 Layout Plans 2-35
Figure 2.4-20: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections 2-36
Figure 2.4-21: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections 2-37
Figure 2.4-22: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections 2-38
Figure 2.4-23: LPAP2 Typical Cross Sections 2-39
Figure 3.2-1: Definition of Corridors in RT Service Area 3-2
Figure 3.2-2: Existing Transit Network 3-3
Figure 3.2-3: Illustration of Linked Transit Trips and Transit Boardings 3-16
Figure 4.1-1: View of Meadowview Road and Meadowview Station Site (Looking East) 4-3
Figure 4.1-2: Homes North of UPRR/Union House Creek Bridge Location (Looking Southwest) 4-3
Figure 4.1-3: View of Franklin Boulevard/Cosumnes River Boulevard Intersection (Looking North down Franklin Boulevard) 4-4

Figure 4.1-4: View of Bruceville Road/Cosumnes River Boulevard Intersection and Cosumnes River College (Looking Southwest) 4-4
Figure 4.1-5: Meadowview Road LRT Flyover 4-5
Figure 4.1-6: Meadowview Road Underpass 4-6
Figure 4.1-7: View of UPRR/Union House Creek LRT Bridge from Homes to the North 4-7
Figure 4.1-8: Franklin Boulevard LRT Flyover 4-7
Figure 4.1-9: Bruceville Road LRT Flyover 4-8
Figure 4.9-1: Existing 100-Year Floodplain 4-69
Figure 4.9-2: 100-Year Floodplain After Implementation of Flood Control Projects (By Others) 4-70
Figure 4.10-1: Existing Land Use Map 4-77
Figure 4.10-2: Future Land Use Map 4-82
Figure 4.10-3: Major Construction Projects in LPAP2 Corridor Area 4-83
Figure 4.11-1: SMUD Sources of Electricity 1998-2002 4-89
Figure 4.12-1: Examples of Typical Outdoor Noise Exposure 4-96
Figure 4.12-2: Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels and Criteria 4-100
Figure 4.12-3: Noise Measurement Sites: LPAP2 LRT Alignment 4-103
Figure 4.12-4: Maximum Existing Union Pacific Freight Train Vibration at 15 mph 4-104
Figure 4.12-5: Ground-Borne Vibration Measurement Locations 4-106
Figure 4.12-6: Noise Impacts Before Mitigation 4-115
Figure 4.12-7: Noise Impacts Before Mitigation 4-116
Figure 4.12-8: Preliminary Noise Barrier Locations 4-119
Figure 4.12-9: Preliminary Noise Barrier Locations 4-120
Figure 4.12-10: Vibration Impacts Before Mitigation 4-123
Figure 4.12-11: Vibration Impacts Before Mitigation 4-124
Figure 4.13-1: Socioeconomic Study Area Census Tracts 4-126
Figure 4.13-2: Community/Neighborhood Groups 4-131
Figure 4.14-1: Public and Cultural Facilities 4-143
Figure 4.15-1: Parks and Recreational Facilities 4-146
Figure 5.1-1: Project Design and Construction Schedule–South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 5-2
Figure 7.7-1: FTA New Starts Project Evaluation Criteria and Measures 7-9

LIST OF TABLES

Table S-2: Summary of Long-Term Impacts, Design Requirements/RT Practices, and Proposed Mitigation Measures S-11
Table S-3: Summary of Short-Term Impacts, Design Requirements/RT Practices, and Proposed Mitigation Measures S-25
Table S-4: LPAP2 Capital Cost Estimate by Cost Category S-37
Table S-5: Identified Capital Funding for the LPAP2 Project S-38
Table 1.2-1: Current and Future Households and Employment 1-7
Table 1.2-2: Person Trip Demand in South Sacramento Corridor 1-8
Table 1.2-3: LPAP2 Project Current and Projected Traffic Congestion on I-5 and SR 99 No-Action Alternative 1-9
Table 1.2-4: Transit Riders per Day in South Sacramento Corridor No-Action Alternative 1-10
Table 1.2-5: Air Quality Trends in Sacramento Area, 1997-2003 1-12
Table 2-1: South Sacramento Corridor Milestones 2-3
Table 2.2-1: No-Action Alternative Transit Service in South Sacramento Corridor 2-8
Table 2.2-2: Major Highway Improvements Programmed for South Sacramento Corridor to 2025 2-9
Table 2.3-1: 2025 Transit Service in South Sacramento Corridor 2-12
Table 2.4-1: LPAP2 Transit Service in South Sacramento Corridor 2-17
Table 2.5-1: Vehicle Maintenance Facilities and Requirements 2-49
Table 2.6-1: Capital Cost Summary Transportation Systems Management Alternative 2-50
Table 2.6-2: LPAP2 Capital Cost Estimate by Cost Category 2-50
Table 2.6-3: Capital Cost Summary – Incremental Increase in Costs for LPAP2 Design Options Above the Base Case Costs 2-51
Table 2.7-1: 2030 Annual Operating Statistics for LPAP2 2-52
Table 3.2-1: Year 2001 Average Weekday Transit Boarding 3-6
Table 3.2-2: Accessibility Comparison AM and PM Peak Period Travel Times by Mode 3-6
Table 3.2-3: Year 2001 Average Weekday RT Transit Boardings by Line 3-8
Table 3.2-4: Year 2001 Bus Routes in the South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 Study Area 3-9
Table 3.2-5: Comparison of Peak Hour Passenger Carrying Capacity 3-13
Table 3.2-6: 2030 Weekday Linked Transit Trips by Corridor 3-14
Table 3.2-7: 2030 Weekday Transit Passenger Boardings by Corridor 3-15
Table 3.2-8: 2030 Regionwide Weekday Linked Transit Trips by Access Mode 3-17
Table 3.2-9: 2030 Regionwide Weekday Transit Passenger Boardings by Vehicle Type 3-19
Table 3.2-10: Weekday LRT Passenger Boardings by Access Mode 3-20
Table 3.2-11: 2030 Weekday Station/Transit Center Boardings and Parking Space Demand TSM Alternative 3-20
Table 3.2-12: 2030 Weekday LRT Station Boardings and Parking Space Demand LPAP2 3-22
Table 3.2-13: Year 2000 and 2030 AM-Peak Period Travel Times 3-23
Table 3.2-14: User Benefits by District (LRT Compared to TSM) 3-25
Table 3.3-1: Level of Service Criteria for Freeways 3-28
Table 3.3-2: Existing (Year 2000) Levels of Service on Study Area Freeways 3-28
Table 3.3-3: Year 2000-2025 Change in Daily Traffic Volumes on Study Area Arterial Roadways 3-29
Table 3.3-4: LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections 3-31
Table 3.3-5: Existing (Year 2002) Intersection Levels of Service 3-32
Table 3.3-6: Existing and Year 2025 Freeway Levels of Service-No-Action Alternative 3-34
Table 3.3-7: Year 2025 Intersection Levels of Service-No-Action Alternative 3-36
Table 3.3-8: Year 2025 Levels of Service on Study Area Freeways-TSM & LPAP2 Alternatives 3-38
Table 3.3-9: Daily Traffic Volumes on Study Area Arterial Roadways–TSM & LPAP2 Alternatives.. 3-39
Table 3.3-10: Year 2025 Intersection LOS Impacts-TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives-AM Peak Hour .. 3-40
Table 3.3-11: Year 2025 Intersection LOS Impacts-TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives-PM Peak Hour .. 3-41
Table 3.3-12: Year 2025 Design Queue Lengths at Grade Crossings (95th percentile queue, per lane in vehicles)-AM Peak Hour 3-44

Table 3.3-13: Year 2025 Design Queue Lengths at Grade Crossings (95th percentile queue, per lane in vehicles) - PM Peak Hour 3-45
Table 3.3-14: Year 2025 Intersection Efficiency Changes (percent change in seconds of green time per hour) 3-46
Table 3.3-15: Year 2025 Intersection LOS Impacts - LPAP2 and Design Option Alternatives - AM Peak Hour 3-48
Table 3.3-16: Year 2025 Intersection LOS Impacts - LPAP2 and Design Option Alternatives - PM Peak Hour 3-49
Table 3.3-17: Year 2025 Intersection LOS Mitigations-TSM & Design Option with Surface Parking 3-52
Table 3.6-18: Year 2025 Intersection LOS Mitigations-Design Option With Surface Parking and Design Option with Garage North of Entrance 3-52
Table 3.3-19: Yr 2025 Intersection LOS Mitigations-Design Option w/ Surface Parking & LPAP2 .. 3-53
Table 3.4-1: Year 2025 Changes in Parking Demand 3-55
Table 4.2-1: Farmland Impacts with the TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives 4-10
Table 4.3-1: Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards 4-12
Table 4.3-2: Federal Emissions Thresholds[1] 4-12
Table 4.3-3: Air Quality Standards, Ambient Measurements and Violations in Project Vicinity 4-13
Table 4.3-4: Criteria Pollutant Emissions (Burden Analysis) 4-16
Table 4.3-5: Criteria Pollutant Emissions Comparison (Burden Analysis) 4-16
Table 4.3-6: Carbon Monoxide (CO) Concentrations (parts per million) 4-17
Table 4.3-7: Carbon Monoxide (CO) Concentrations With Parking Structure Design Options 4-19
Table 4.4-1: Noxious Weeds Observed Within the Project Area 4-28
Table 4.4-2: Special-Status Plant and Wildlife Species with Potential to Occur in the Study Area . 4-31
Table 4.4-3: Known Localities of the Giant Garter Snake in the Project Vicinity 4-40
Table 4.4-4: Vegetation Community Impacts (acres) for the TSM Alternative 4-44
Table 4.4-5: Vegetation Community Impacts (acres) for the LPAP2 4-45
Table 4.4-6: Special-status Species Habitat Impacts (acres) of the TSM Alternative 4-46
Table 4.4-7: Special-status Species Habitat Impacts (acres) for the LPAP2 4-47
Table 4.4-8: Jurisdictional Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S. Impacts (acres) 4-48
Table 4.5-1: Previous Cultural Surveys and Known Sites Adjacent to/Overlapping with the Archaeological APE for the LPAP2 Alternative 4-54
Table 4.6-1: EMF Intensities from Common Human Activities 4-57
Table 4.11-1: Direct and Total Energy Use by Transit and Auto Modes (2025) 4-92
Table 4.11-2: Annual Energy Consumption for Vehicle Operations by Alternative (2025) 4-93
Table 4.12-1: FTA Noise Impact Criteria 4-98
Table 4.12-2: Cumulative Noise Level Increase Allowed by FTA Criteria 4-99
Table 4.12-3: Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria 4-101
Table 4.12-4: Ground-Borne Vibration and Noise Impact Criteria for Special Buildings 4-101
Table 4.12-5: Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results 4-105
Table 4.12-6: Projected Noise Impacts at Residences due to LRT Operations and Cosumnes River Boulevard Traffic 4-108
Table 4.12-7: Projected Noise Impacts at Non-Residential Receptors due to LPAP2 LRT Operations and Cosumnes River Boulevard Traffic [1] 4-110
Table 4.12-8: Land Use Category 2 (Residential) Vibration Impacts 4-113
Table 4.12-9: Land Use Category 3 Vibration Impacts 4-114
Table 4.12-10: Preliminary Noise Barrier Mitigation Treatment for LPAP2 Without CRB Widening and Extension Projects 4-117
Table 4.12-11: Preliminary Noise Barrier Mitigation Treatment for the LPAP2 with Traffic Noise Contribution from CRB Widening and Extension Projects 4-118
Table 4.12-12: Potential Sound Insulation Mitigation Treatment 4-121
Table 4.13-1: 2000-2025 Population, Housing, and Employment in the Study Area 4-127
Table 4.13-2: Household Characteristics 4-128
Table 4.13-3: Ethnic Composition in the Study Area 4-128

Table 4.13-4: Household Income and Poverty Status 4-129
Table 4.13-5: 2000 Transit Dependent Populations 4-129
Table 4.13-6: Summary of Right-of-Way Acquisitions (Including Easements) and Estimated Residential and Non-Residential Relocations..... 4-134
Table 4.14-1: Existing Public and Cultural Facilities 4-142
Table 4.14-2: Community Facilities Provided Improved Access Under the TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives..... 4-144
Table 4.15-1: Existing Parks and Recreational Facilities in the Study Area 4-145
Table 4.17-1: Utility Summary for LPAP2..... 4-155
Table 4.17-2: Utility Modifications Proposed for the Light Rail Transit Alternative[1]..... 4-157
Table 5.2-1: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions - TSM Alternative (pounds per day)..... 5-7
Table 5.2-2: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions - Light Rail Alternative (pounds per day).... 5-8
Table 5.2-3: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions After Design Requirements/RT Practices and Mitigation TSM Alternative (pounds per day)..... 5-10
Table 5.2-4: Worst-Case Daily Construction Emissions After Design Requirements/RT Practices and Mitigation Light Rail Alternative (pounds per day)..... 5-10
Table 5.2-5: Impacts from Construction Investment in the South Sacramento Corridor Phase 2 Project (Millions of 2005 dollars)..... 5-16
Table 5.2-6: Street Closures Due to Construction of the LPAP2 5-25
Table 6.1-1: CEQA Significance Threshold for Selected Environmental Impact Categories..... 6-2
Table 6.2-1: Summary of Impacts and Proposed Mitigation for the TSM and LPAP2 Alternatives . 6-11
Table 7.2-1: Capital Cost Estimate by Cost Category 7-1
Table 7.2-2: Identified Capital Funding for the LPAP2 Project..... 7-2
Table 7.7-1: Comparison of Alternatives in 2025 with Measure of Goals and Objectives..... 7-11
Table 7.7-2: Reduction in Air Pollution by LPAP2 Alternative 7-19
Table 8.2-1: Agency Approvals..... 8-15